### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

Defendants.	) JURY DEMAND
PEERLESS GROUP, INC., THE PEERLESS GROUP, PEERLESS MACHINERY CORP., PEERLESS CONFECTION COMPANY, and In the alternative SHAFFER MANUFACTURING CORP.	(Cook County Circuit Court ) case no. 2008 L 003437) ) )
VS.	) MAGISTRATE JUDGE SCHENKIER ) No.
KENNETH MOORE,  Plaintiff,	) 08CV2862 RCC ) JUDGE ZAGEL
	FILED: MAY 16, 2008

### DEFENDANT SHAFFER MANUFACTURING CORP.'S NOTICE OF REMOVAL PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332, 1441 and 1446

The defendant, SHAFFER MANUFACTURING CORP., by its attorneys, THE HUNT LAW GROUP, LLC, hereby gives notice that this action has been removed from the Circuit Court of Cook County, Law Division, of the State of Illinois to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois, Eastern Division, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332, 1441 and 1446, and respectfully states to the Court as follows:

The plaintiff filed a civil complaint, captioned Kenneth Moore v. Peerless Group, Inc., The Peerless Group, Peerless Machinery Corp., Peerless Confection Company and Shaffer Manufacturing Corp., Case No. 2008 L 003437, on March 28, 2008 in the Law Division of the Circuit Court of Cook County in the State of Illinois. The complaint alleges that the plaintiff sustained great injuries as a result of defendants' negligence from an accident that occurred on or about November 10, 2006 in Chicago, Illinois. The plaintiff alleges that the plaintiff's injuries were caused by a defective

brownie mixer manufactured and sold by the defendants. [See Complaint and Jury Demand, attached hereto as Exhibit A.]

- 2. This Court has original jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332, and this action may be removed to this Court by the defendant pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a) given that it is a civil action between citizens of different states, the matter in controversy exceeds the sum of \$75,000 exclusive of interest and costs, and this Court is the District Court of the United States for the district and division encompassing the place where the action is pending.
- 3. The plaintiff, Kenneth Moore, is now and was at the time of the commencement of this lawsuit a resident and citizen of the State of Illinois, and is not a resident or citizen of the same state as any real or non-nominal defendant.
- 4. The plaintiff named Shaffer Manufacturing Corp. as a defendant in this case. Shaffer Manufacturing Corp. is an Ohio Corporation with its principal place of business in Urbana, Ohio.
- 5. The defendant, Shaffer Manufacturing Corp., was served with the complaint on April 17, 2008. [See Summons and Proof of Service attached hereto as Exhibit B.]
- 6. The plaintiff also named Peerless Group, Inc., The Peerless Group, Peerless Machinery Corp., and Peerless Confection Company as defendants in this case.
- 7. Of the named defendants, Peerless Group, Inc., The Peerless Group, Peerless Machinery Corp., and Peerless Confection Company, a summons was only issued against Peerless Confection Company. [See summons issued against Peerless Confection Company attached hereto as Exhibit C.]

- 8. The plaintiff failed to serve the issued summons and Complaint upon Peerless Confection Company. As such, Peerless Group, Inc., The Peerless Group, Peerless Machinery Corp., and Peerless Confection Company have not been served with the summons and Complaint in this case. [See proof of failed service attached hereto as Exhibit D.]
- 9. In his Complaint, the plaintiff makes allegations against and refers to Peerless Group, Inc., The Peerless Group, Peerless Machinery Corp., and Peerless Confection Company collectively as "Peerless" indicating the plaintiff's belief that Peerless Group, Inc., The Peerless Group, Peerless Machinery Corp., and Peerless Confection Company are associated entities engaged in the same business operations.
- 10. Peerless Machinery Corp. d/b/a The Peerless Group is an Ohio Corporation with its principal place of business in Sidney, Ohio engaged in the business of manufacturing bakery equipment such as the mixer at issue in plaintiff's Complaint. [See affidavit of John Garmhausen, duly authorized agent of PMC International f/k/a Peerless Machinery Corp. d/b/a The Peerless Group attached hereto as Exhibit E.]
- 11. Upon information and good faith belief, Peerless Group, Inc. is not an Illinois corporation and does not engage in any business in the State of Illinois and has no affiliation with or association with Peerless Machinery Corp., The Peerless Group or Peerless Confection Company.
- 12. Also, Peerless Confection Company is not affiliated with or associated with The Peerless Group or Peerless Machinery Corp. in any way whatsoever. [See Exhibit E.]

- 13. Based upon information obtained from the Illinois Secretary of State, Peerless Confection Company, is a now-defunct Illinois Corporation that is no longer in good standing.
- 14. Upon information and good faith belief, Peerless Confection Company's business operations were not in any way related to the plaintiff's cause of action. Peerless Confection Company was in the business of producing candy and other confectionary products and was not in the business of manufacturing bakery equipment such as the brownie mixer which the plaintiff alleges was defective and was the cause of his injuries. Indeed, it seems that Peerless Confection Company's only relation to this case is that it shared the name "Peerless" with defendants Peerless Group, Inc., The Peerless Group, and Peerless Machinery Corp.
- 15. In determining whether complete diversity exists, courts must only look at those parties "who are real and substantial parties to the controversy." <u>Vandervest v. Wisconsin Central, Ltd.</u>, 936 F.Supp. 601, 603 (E.D. Wisc. 1996); <u>citing Navarro Savings Association v. Lee</u>, 446 U.S. 458, 461 (1980). Inclusion of nominal parties in pleadings does not affect diversity jurisdiction. <u>Vandervest</u>, 936 F.Supp. at 603; <u>citing Matchett v. Wold</u>, 818 F.2d 574, 576 (7<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1987); <u>see also H.F. Vegter Excavation Co. v. Village of Oak Brook</u>, 790 F.Supp. 184, 186 (N.D. Ill. 1992) (holding that the absence of nominal or fraudulently joined parties from the removal petition does not defeat removal). A defendant is a nominal party if there is no reasonable basis for predicting that it will be held liable. <u>Vandervest</u>, 936 F.Supp. at 604; <u>citing Shaw v. Dow Brands, Inc.</u>, 994 F.2d 364, 369 (7<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1993).

- 16. In this case, Peerless Confection Company is a nominal party that has no bearing on the determination of complete diversity. Peerless Confection Company, as stated above, has no relationship with defendants Peerless Machinery Group and The Peerless Group and was not in the business of manufacturing bakery equipment such as the brownie mixer which is the subject of the plaintiff's complaint. As such, there is no reasonable basis for predicting that Peerless Confection Company could be held liable to the defendant. Therefore, the inclusion of Peerless Confection Company, which was an Illinois Corporation, as a named defendant has no bearing on the determination of whether diversity exists between the plaintiff and defendants.
- 17. As Peerless Confection Company and Peerless Group, Inc. have not been served, their consent is not necessary for removal of this matter. Shaw v. Dow Brands, Inc., 994 F.2d 364, 368-69 (7<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1993).
- 18. The defendants, Peerless Machinery Corp. and The Peerless Group, although not yet served, expressly consent to removal of this case to this Court. [See Exhibit E.]
- 19. As previously noted, for diversity jurisdiction to exist as a proper basis of removal, the matter in controversy must exceed the sum or value of \$75,000. 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a).
- 20. The plaintiff's complaint includes a prayer for relief in "an amount that **greatly exceeds** the jurisdictional minimum of this Court" to satisfy the jurisdictional requirement of the Law Division of the Circuit Court of Cook County (the jurisdictional minimum for the Law Division of the Circuit Court of Cook County is \$50,000.) [See Complaint, attached hereto as Exhibit A, emphasis added.] In addition, the plaintiff

alleges that, as a result of the accident, the plaintiff's "right hand was caught in the double arm mixers blades and with great force and violence, the blades completely severed his right hand from his body; that as a result of his injuries, he has suffered, and with reasonable certainty will continue to suffer great pain, and has been permanently, and will be hindered from attending to his affairs and duties and from following his usual occupation and he has been and will be, deprived of large earnings and profits that he might otherwise have made, and he has been, and will be, compelled to expend or become liable for large sums of money for the care and treatment of his injuries." Therefore, it is defendant's good faith belief that the amount in controversy exceeds the sum of \$75,000, exclusive of interest and costs.

- 21. This Notice of Removal is being filed within thirty days of the receipt of the plaintiff's complaint as prescribed by 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b). Plaintiff's complaint was filed on March 28, 2008 and the defendant Shaffer Manufacturing Corp. was served with a copy of the complaint on April 17, 2008. This notice is filed on May 16, 2008, within thirty days of the date of service of the plaintiff's complaint. [See Exhibit B.]
- 22. A true and accurate copy of this Notice of Removal will be filed with the Clerk of the Circuit Court of Cook County of Illinois promptly after the filing of this Notice of Removal. Written notice of the filing of this Notice of Removal and a copy of the Notice of Removal will also be provided to plaintiff's counsel as required by 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d).
- 23. Attached to this Notice of Removal, as Exhibits A, B, C, and D, are true and correct copies of all process, pleadings, and orders filed in the aforesaid action.

WHEREFORE, the defendant SHAFFER MANUFACTURING CORP., hereby gives notice that the matter captioned *Kenneth Moore v. Peerless Group, Inc., The Peerless Group, Peerless Machinery Corp., Peerless Confection Company and Shaffer Manufacturing Corp*, Case No. 2008 L 003437 filed in the Law Division of the Circuit Court of Cook County in the State of Illinois is removed to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois, Eastern Division.

#### THE DEFENDANT HEREBY DEMANDS A TRIAL BY JURY.

Respectfully submitted,

THE HUNT LAW GROUP, LLC

By: /s/ Brian J. Hunt

Brian J. Hunt

ID Number 6208397

THE HUNT LAW GROUP, LLC Attorneys for Defendant 225 West Washington, 18<sup>th</sup> Floor Chicago, Illinois 60606 (312) 384-2300

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify, under penalty of perjury that I accomplished service pursuant to ECF as to Filing Users and pursuant to LR 5.5 for all parties that are not Filing Users on the 16th day of May 2008, before 5:00 p.m.

/s/ Brian J. Hunt BRIAN J. HUNT

THE HUNT LAW GROUP, LLC Attorneys for Defendant 225 W. Washington, 18<sup>th</sup> Floor Chicago, Illinois 60606 (312) 384 - 2300

### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

KENNETH MOORE,	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
vs.	) No.	
PEERLESS GROUP, INC., THE PEERLESS GROUP, PEERLESS MACHINERY CORP., PEERLESS CONFECTION COMPANY, and In the alternative SHAFFER MANUFACTURING CORP.	(Cook County Circuit Cook case no. 2008 L 003437	
Defendants.	) ) <b>JURY DEMAND</b> )	

### **EXHIBIT LIST**

- 1. Exhibit A Complaint at Law and Jury Demand.
- 2. Exhibit B Summons and Proof of Service upon Shaffer Manufacturing Corp.
- 3. Exhibit C Summons issued against Peerless Confection Company.
- 4. Exhibit D Proof of Failed Service against Peerless Confection Company.
- 5. Exhibit E Affidavit of John Garmhausen

## **EXHIBIT A**

### IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS COUNTY DEPARTMENT, LAW DIVISION

Kenneth Moore,	)	
Plaintiff,	) ) )	2008L003437 CALENDAR/ROOM D TIME 00:00
VS.	) No.	PI Motor Vehicle
Peerless Group, Inc., The Peerless Group,	)	
Peerless Machinery Corp., Peerless	)	
Confection Company,	)	
and, in the alternative,	)	ŧ
Shaffer Manufacturing Corp,	)	2008
Defendants.	)	2008 MAR 28 P
COMP	LAINT AT LAW	引います

NOW COMES Plaintiff, KENNETH MOORE, by and through his attorneys Cochrar Cherry, Givens, Smith & Montgomery, LLC, and for his Complaint against the Defendant, PEERLESS GROUP, INC., THE PEERLESS GROUP, PEERLESS MACHINERY, CORP., PEERLESS CONFECTION COMPANY, hereinafter PEERLESS, and in the alternative SHAFFER MANUFACTURING CORP., hereinafter, SHAFFER, states as follows:

#### **PARTIES**

- 1. At all relevant times, Plaintiff, KENNETH MOORE, was a resident of the City of Chicago, County of Cook, State of Illinois.
- 2. At all relevant times, Peerless, was a corporation, licensed, operating and doing business in the State of Illinois.
  - 3. At all relevant times, Shaffer, was a corporation, licensed, operating and doing

business in the State of Illinois.

### **COUNT I** (NEGLIGENCE - PEERLESS)

- 4. That prior to November 10, 2006, Defendant, Peerless, designed, manufactured, and sold commercial-sized double arm mixers, including the browner mixer involved in the occurrence hereinafter complained of which bore no detectable serial numbers at the time of the incident complained of herein.
- That prior to the date of the occurrence hereinafter complained of, the Defendant, 5. Peerless, sold that commercial sized brownie mixer to Guernsey Bel Seasonings. Inc.
- 6. Upon information and belief, sometime in March 2003, Kerry Inc. bought the factory and its equipment from Guernsey Bel, including the double arm mixer complained of herein.
- That on November 10, 2006, Plaintiff, KENNETH MOORE, was an employee of 7. Kerry Ingredients and was using and operating the commercial sized double arm mixer at 4300 S. Morgan, Chicago, Illinois to prepare a batch of brownies in the course of his daily work.
- 8. That on November 10, 2006, Plaintiff, Kenneth Moore, placed his hand into the mixer to alleviate clumps in the brownie batter, and his right hand was caught in the mixer and was completely amputated to the wrist from his body by the blades.
- 9. That the commercial-sized double arm mixer was designed and manufactured by the Defendant, Peerless, and at all times thereafter up to and including the time of the occurrence complained of, the mixer was not reasonably safe in design and manufacture in one or more the of the following respects, that the Defendant:

- a. failed to equip the double arm mixer with guards adequate to protect users of the machine and prevent their hands from accidentally being caught in and injured by the rotating blades;
- failed to ensure that there were adequate devices to cut off and stop the
   rotation of those blades in an emergency situation;
- c. failed to provide and post adequate warnings to users as to the dangers of the machine;
- d. failed to furnish instructions and labels with the product that were in conformity with generally recognized standards in the industry.
- 10. That on November 10, 2006, as a direct and proximate result of one or more of the foregoing defects, and in consequence thereof, Plaintiff, KENNETH MOORE'S, right hand was caught in the double arm mixers blades and with great force and violence, the blades completely severed his right hand from his body; that as a result of his injuries, he has suffered, and with reasonable certainty will continue to suffer great pain, and he has been permanently, and will be hindered from attending to his affairs and duties and from following his usual occupation and he has been and will be, deprived of large earnings and profits that he might otherwise have made, and he has been, and will be, compelled to expend or become liable for large sums of money for the care and treatment of his injuries.

WHEREFORE Plaintiff, KENNETH MOORE, prays for judgment in his favor and against Defendant, PEERLESS GROUP, INC., THE PEERLESS GROUP, PEERLESS MACHINERY, CORP., PEERLESS CONFECTION COMPANY, for said damages including personal injury sustained as a result of the negligence of Defendant, PEERLESS GROUP, INC.,

THE PEERLESS GROUP, PEERLESS MACHINERY, CORP., PEERLESS CONFECTION

COMPANY, in an amount that greatly exceeds the jurisdictional minimum of this Court, plus the cost of this action and any additional relief as the Court shall deem just and proper.

### COUNT II (STRICT LIABILITY - PEERLESS)

- 1-3. Plaintiff adopts and incorporates herein paragraphs 1,2, and 3 of above as though fully set forth herein as paragraphs 1, 2, and 3 of Count II.
- 4. That on November 10, 2006, and for a long time prior to that date, the defendant, Peerless a corporation, was engaged in the business of manufacturing, for ultimate sale to other companies, a double arm mixer, including the mixer used by Kerry Ingredients, a corporation, for the purposes of making brownies.
- 5. That on and prior to November 10, 2006, the Defendant, Peerless, manufactured and later sold the double arm mixer to Gurnsey Bel (later acquired by Kerry Ingredients), who placed the mixer in use at its factory located at 4300 S. Morgan, Chicago, Illinois.
- 6. That at the time the double arm mixer was manufactured by the Defendant,
  Peerless, the mixer was not reasonably safe for its intended use in that there was a 6in space
  between the mixing bowl and lid that could be accessed by the user and:
  - a. The double arm mixer was not equipped with guards adequate to protect users of the machine and prevent their hands from accidentally being caught in and injured by the rotating blades;
  - b. There were not adequate devices to cut off and stop the rotation of those blades in an emergency situation;

- There were no adequate warnings as to the dangers of the machine; c.
- d. The instructions and labels furnished with the product were not in conformity with generally recognized standards in the industry.
- 7. That by reason of the foregoing the mixer was not reasonably safe for its intended use when it left the possession and control of the Defendant, Peerless.
- 8. That the plaintiff, KENNETH MOORE was using the mixer and placed his hand through the 6 inch opening to alleviate clumps in the brownie mix and was injured.
- 9. That as a direct and proximate result of the condition of the mixer as aforesaid, the Plaintiff's right hand was caught in the double arm mixing machine blade and with great force and violence, completely severed his right hand from his body; that as a result of his injuries, he has suffered and with reasonable certainty will continue to suffer great pain, and he has been and permanently will be hindered from attending to his affairs and duties and from following his usual occupation and he has been, and will be, deprived or large earnings and profits that he might otherwise have made, and he has been, and will be, compelled to expend or become liable for large sums of money for the care and treatment of his injuries.

WHEREFORE Plaintiff, KENNETH MOORE, prays for judgment in his favor and against Defendant, PEERLESS GROUP, INC., THE PEERLESS GROUP, PEERLESS MACHINERY, CORP., PEERLESS CONFECTION COMPANY, for said damages including personal injury sustained as a result of the negligence of Defendant, PEERLESS GROUP, INC., THE PEERLESS GROUP, PEERLESS MACHINERY, CORP., PEERLESS CONFECTION COMPANY, in an amount that greatly exceeds the jurisdictional minimum of this Court, plus his cost of this action and any additional relief as the Court shall deem just and proper.

### **COUNT III** (NEGLIGENCE - SHAFFER)

- Plaintiff adopts and incorporates herein paragraphs 1,2, and 3 of above as 1 - 3. though fully set forth herein as paragraphs 1, 2, and 3 of Count II.
- 4. That prior to November 10, 2006, Defendant, Shaffer, designed, manufactured, and sold commercial-sized double arm mixers, including the double arm mixer involved in the occurrence hereinafter complained of which bore no detectable serial numbers at the time of the incident complained of herein.
- That prior to the date of the occurrence hereinafter complained of, the Defendant, 5. Peerless, sold that commercial-sized double arm mixer to Guernsey Bel Seasonings, Inc.
- Upon information and belief, sometime in March 2003, Kerry Inc. purchased 6. the factory and its equipment from Guernsey Bel, including the double arm mixer complained of herein.
- That on November 10, 2006, Plaintiff, KENNETH MOORE, was an employee of 7. Kerry Ingredients and was using and operating the commercial-sized double arm mixer to prepare a batch of brownies as was his duties.
- That on November 10, 2006, Plaintiff, Kenneth Moore, placed his hand into the 8. mixer to alleviate clumps in the brownie batter, and his right hand was caught in the mixer and was completely amputated to the wrist from his body by the blades.
- That the double arm mixer was designed and manufactured by the Defendant, 9. Shaffer, and at all times thereafter, up to and including the time of the occurrence complained of, the double arm mixer was not reasonably safe in design and manufacture in one or more the of

the following respects, that the Defendant:

- failed to equip the double arm mixer with guards adequate to protect users a. of the machine and prevent their hands from accidentally being caught in and injured by the rotating blades;
- failed to ensure that there were adequate devices to cut off and stop the b. rotation of those blades in an emergency situation;
- failed to provide and post adequate warnings to users as to the dangers of C. the machine;
- failed to furnish instructions and labels with the product that were in d. conformity with generally recognized standards in the industry.
- That on November 10, 2006, as a direct and proximate result of one or more of 10. the foregoing defects, and in consequence thereof, Plaintiff, KENNETH MOORE'S, right hand was caught in the double arm mixing machine blade and with great force and violence, the blades completely severed his right hand from his body; that as a result of his injuries, he has suffered and with reasonable certainty will continue to suffer great pain, and he has been permanently, and will be hindered from attending to his affairs and duties and from following his usual occupation, and he has been and will be, deprived of large earnings and profits that he might otherwise have made, and he has been and will be, compelled to expend or become liable for large sums of money for the care and treatment of his injuries.

WHEREFORE Plaintiff, KENNETH MOORE, prays for judgment in his favor and against Defendant, SHAFFER MANUFACTURING CORP. for said damages including personal injury sustained as a result of the negligence of Defendant, SHAFFER MANUFACTURING

CORP., in an amount that greatly exceeds the jurisdictional minimum of this Court, plus the cost of this action and any additional relief as the

### (STRICT LIABILITY - SHAFFER)

- Plaintiff adopts and incorporates herein paragraphs 1,2, and 3 of above as though fully set forth herein as paragraphs 1, 2, and 3 of Count II.
- That on November 10, 2006, and for a long time prior to that date, the defendant, 4. SHAFFER, a corporation, was engaged in the business of manufacturing, for ultimate sale to other companies, a double arm mixer, including the mixer used by Kerry Ingredients, a corporation, for the purposes of making brownies.
- 5. That on and prior to November 10, 2006, the Defendant, SHAFFER. manufactured and later sold the double arm mixer to Gurnsey Bel (later acquired by Kerry Ingredients), who placed the mixer in use at its factory located at 4300 S. Morgan, Chicago, Illinois.
- 6. That at the time the double arm mixer was manufactured by the Defendant. SHAFFER, the mixer was not reasonably safe for its intended use in that there was a 6in space between the mixing bowl and lid that could be accessed by the user and:
  - a. The double arm mixer was not equipped with guards adequate to protect users of the machine and prevent their hands from accidentally being caught in and injured by the rotating blades;
  - b. There were not adequate devices to cut off and stop the rotation of those blades in an emergency situation;

- c. There were no adequate warnings as to the dangers of the machine;
- d. The instructions and labels furnished with the product were not in conformity with generally recognized standards in the industry.
- 7. That by reason of the foregoing the mixer was not reasonably safe for its intended use when it left the possession and control of the Defendant, SHAFFER.
- 8. That the Plaintiff, KENNETH MOORE was using the mixer and placed his hand through the 6 inch opening to alleviate clumps in the brownie mix and was injured.
- 9. That as a direct and proximate result of the condition of the mixer as aforesaid, the Plaintiff's right hand was caught in the double arm mixing machine blade and with great force and violence, completely severed his right hand from his body; that as a result of his injuries, he has suffered and with reasonable certainty will continue to suffer great pain, and he has been and permanently will be hindered from attending to his affairs and duties and from following his usual occupation and he has been, and will be, deprived or large earnings and profits that he might otherwise have made, and he has been, and will be, compelled to expend or become liable for large sums of money for the care and treatment of his injuries.

WHEREFORE Plaintiff, KENNETH MOORE, prays for judgment in his favor and against Defendant, SHAFFER MANUFACTURING CORP., for said damages including personal injury sustained as a result of the negligence of Defendant, SHAFFER MANUFACTURING CORP., in an amount that greatly exceeds the jurisdictional minimum of this Court, plus the cost of this action and any additional relief as the Court shall deem just and proper.

### PLAINTIFFS DEMAND TRIAL BY JURY.

Respectfully submitted,

BY: <u>Bevely Speaman</u>
One of Plaintiff's Attorneys

James D. Montgomery
Beverly P. Spearman
Cochran, Cherry, Givens, Smith & Montgomery, LLC
One North LaSalle Street, Suite 2450
Chicago, Illinois 60602
(312) 977-0200
(312) 977-0209 fax
Firm ID No. 37432

## IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS COUNTY DEPARTMENT, LAW DIVISION

Kenneth Moore,	)	
Plaintiff,	)	2008L003437
vs.	) ) No.	CALENDAR/ROOM D TIME 00:00 PI Motor Vehicle
Peerless Group, Inc., The Peerless Group, Peerless Machinery Corp., Peerless Confection Comments	) )	AGUICI6
Confection Company,	)	ب .
and, in the alternative,	) )	
Shaffer Manufacturing Corp,	) )	CIRCULT COURT
Defendants.	)	で開める。これでは、これでは、これでは、これでは、これでは、これでは、これでは、これでは、
		ON THE SECOND
JUR'	Y DEMAND	ž

The undersigned demands a trial by jury.

Respectfully submitted,

One of Plaintiff's Attorneys

James D. Montgomery

Beverly P. Spearman

Cochran, Cherry, Givens, Smith & Montgomery, L.L.C.

One North LaSalle Street, Suite 2450

Chicago, IL. 60602

Phone: (312) 977-0200

Fax: (312) 977-0209

Firm I.D. No. 37432

## **EXHIBIT B**

#### To the officer:

This summons must be returned by the officer or other person to whom it was given for service, with endorsement of service and fees, if any, immediately after service. If service cannot be made, this summons shall be returned so endorsed. This summons may not be served later than 30 days after its date. CLERK &

There will be a fee of \$188.00 to file your Appearance, or you may present an Application to Sue or Defend as Poor Person (form #CCG-19). If approved by the Presiding Judge, the fee will be waived.

Cochran, Cherry, Givens, Smith, & Montgomery, L.L.C.

Attorney for Plaintiff

1North Lasalle St., Suite 2450

Chicago, Illinois 60602

(312) 977-0200 Firm I.D. 37432

Service by facsimile will be accepted at (312) 977-0209

Date of service: ..... (To be inserted by officer on co defendant or other person

DOROTHY BROWN, CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, ILENO Law Division Room 801 Chancery-Divorce Room 802

County Division Room 801 Probate Division Room 1202

D\SUMMONS.FRM 03288

Case 1:08-cv-02862 Document 1 Filed 05/16/2008 SHERIFF'S OFFICE OF COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS Page 24 of 31 010 TYPE LAW SHERIFF'S NUMBER 033834-001L CASE NUMBER 08L003437 DEPUTY: STROM 3696-FILED DT 03-28-2008 RECEIVED DT 04-14-2008 DIE DT 04-25-2008 MULTIPLE SERVICE 1 **ATTORNEY DEFENDANT** COCHRAN, CHERRY, GIVENS, 'SMITH SHAFFER MANUFACTURING CORP. 1 N LA SALLE ST 208 S LA SALLE ST CHICAGO IL. 60602 CHICAGO IL. 60604 312 977-0200 SUITE#814 PLAINTIFF KENNETH MOORE SERVICE INFORMATION: REG. AGENT CT CORPORATION LM \* I CERTIFY THAT I SERVED THIS SUMMONS ON THE DEFENDANT AS FOLLOWS: .....1 PERSONAL SERVICE: BY LEAVING A COPY OF THE SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT WITH THE NAMED DEFENDANT PERSONALLY. .. 2 SUBSTITUTE SERVICE: BY LEAVING A COPY OF THE SUMMONS AND A COPY OF THE COMPLAINT AT THE DEFENDANT'S USUAL PLACE OF ABODE WITH SOME PERSON OF THE FAMILY OR A PERSON RESIDING THERE, OF THE AGE OF 13 YEARS OR UPWARDS, AND INFORMING THAT PERSON OF THE CONTENTS THEREOF. ALSO, A COPY OF THE SUMMONS WAS MAILED ON THE 20 , IN A SEALED ENVELOPE WITH POSTAGE FULLY PREPAID, ADDRESSED TO THE DEFENDANT AT HIS OR HER USUAL PLACE OF ABODE. SAID PARTY REFUSED NAME 3 SERVICE ON: CORPORATION COMPANY BUSINESS PARTNERSHIP BY LEAVING A COPY OF THE SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT (OR INTERROGATORIES) WITH THE REGISTERED AGENT, AUTHORIZED PERSON OR PARTNER OF THE DEFENDANT. ...4 CERTIFIED MAIL . DEPUTY (B) THOMAS J. DART, SHERIFF, BY: 3696-AGE ( RACE 2 NAME OF DEFENDANT SHAFFER MANUFACTURING CORP WRIT SERVED ON ADDITIONAL REMARKS \* THE NAMED DEFENDANT WAS NOT SERVED ATTEMPTED SERVICES TYPE OF BLDG TIME A.M./P.M. DATE NEIGHBORS NAME ADDRESS **REASON NOT SERVED:** 07 EMPLOYER REFUSAL 01 MOVED 08 RETURNED BY ATTY 02 NO CONTACT 09 DECEASED 10 BLDG DEMOLISHED 03 EMPTY LOT 04 NOT LISTED 11 NO REGISTERED AGT. 05 WRONG ADDRESS 12 OTHER REASONS 06 NO SUCH ADDRESS 13 OUT OF COUNTY

.00

FEE

.00

MILEAGE

.00

TOTAL

# **EXHIBIT C**

D\SUMMONS.FRM 03288

## **EXHIBIT D**

TYPE LAW Case 1:08sheruff68 of Dice:100ercook country 05/16/19008 Page Struct 1207		
SHERIFF'S NUMBER 033835-001L CASE NUMBER 08L003437 DEPUTY:		
FILED DT 03-28-2008 RECEIVED DT 04-14-2008 DIE DT 04-25-2008 MULTIPLE SERVICE 1 DEFENDANT		
PEERLESS CONFECTION CO. COCHRAN, CHERRY, GIVENS, SMITH	1	
7383 N LINCOLN AV 1 N LA SALLE ST		
LINCOLNWOOD IL. 60712 CHICAGO IL. 60602 312 977-0200		
PLAINTIFF KENNETH MOORE		
SERVICE INFORMATION: SRV REG. AGENT RICHARD C. LYMAN LM		
********************	****	
(A) I CERTIFY THAT I SERVED THIS SUMMONS ON THE DEFENDANT AS FOLLOWS:		
1 PERSONAL SERVICE: BY LEAVING A COPY OF THE SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT WITH THE NAMED DEFENDANT PERSONALLY.		
2 SUBSTITUTE SERVICE: BY LEAVING A COPY OF THE SUMMONS AND A COPY OF THE CONTACT THE DEFENDANT'S USUAL PLACE OF ABODE WITH SOME PERSON OF THE FAMILY OR	A PERSON	
AT THE DEFENDANT'S USUAL PLACE OF ABODE WITH SOME PERSON OF THE TYPE OF THE AGE OF 13 YEARS OR UPWARDS, AND INFORMING THAT PERSON OF THE AGE OF 13 YEARS OR UPWARDS, AND INFORMING THAT PERSON OF THE AGE OF 13 YEARS OR UPWARDS, AND INFORMING THAT PERSON OF THE AGE OF 13 YEARS OR UPWARDS, AND INFORMING THAT PERSON OF THE AGE OF 13 YEARS OR UPWARDS, AND INFORMING THAT PERSON OF THE AGE OF 13 YEARS OR UPWARDS, AND INFORMING THAT PERSON OF THE AGE OF 13 YEARS OR UPWARDS, AND INFORMING THAT PERSON OF THE AGE OF 13 YEARS OR UPWARDS, AND INFORMING THAT PERSON OF THE AGE OF 13 YEARS OR UPWARDS, AND INFORMING THAT PERSON OF THE AGE OF 13 YEARS OR UPWARDS, AND INFORMING THAT PERSON OF THE AGE OF 13 YEARS OR UPWARDS, AND INFORMING THAT PERSON OF THE AGE OF 13 YEARS OR UPWARDS, AND INFORMING THAT PERSON OF THE AGE OF 13 YEARS OR UPWARDS, AND INFORMING THAT PERSON OF THE AGE OF 13 YEARS OR UPWARDS.	ON OF	
THE CONTENTS THEREOE ALSO A COPY OF THE SUMMUND WAD MAILED UN THE		
SAV AC 20 IN A CEALED ENVELOPE WITH PUBLISHE FULLS		
PREPAID, ADDRESSED TO THE DEFENDANT AT HIS OR HER USUAL PLACE OF ABODE.	•	
SAID PARTY REFUSED NAME		
COMPANY RISTNESS PARINERSHIP	HE .	
BY LEAVING A CODY OF THE CHMMONS AND COMPLAINT (UK INTEKKUGATURIES) WITH T	11L	
REGISTERED AGENT, AUTHORIZED PERSON OR PARTNER OF THE DEFENDANT.		
4 CERTIFIED MAIL		
(B) THOMAS J. DART, SHERIFF, BY:, BEPUTY		
1 SEX M/F RACE AGE		
2 NAME OF DEFENDANT PEERLESS CONFECTION CO.		
THIS DAY OF AM, 200 TIME I A.M.P.M.		
ADDITIONAL REMARKS DEL STELL DEF DOES NOT NORK HERE AND ONLY DE		
FITHICL ACCOUNTINGS.		
****************	•	
THE NAMED DEFENDANT WAS NOT SERVED.		
TYPE OF BLDG SERVICES  ATTEMPTED SERVICES		
NEIGHBORS NAME DATE TIME A.M./P.M.		
ADDRESS		
REASON NOT SERVED:		
07 EMPLOYER REFUSAL		
01 MOVED 08 RETURNED BY ATTY : =		
02 NO CONTACT 09 DECEASED 10 BLDG DEMOLISHED :		
04 NOT LISTED 11 NO REGISTERED AGT.		
05 WRONG ADDRESS 12 OTHER REASONS		
06 NO SUCH ADDRESS 13 OUT OF COUNTY		
FEE .00 MILEAGE .00 TOTAL .00 SG86		

## **EXHIBIT E**

### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

Kenneth Moore,	)
Plaintiff,	<b>\</b>
¥£.	No.
PEERLESS GROUP, INC., THE PEERLESS GROUP, PEERLESS MACHINERY CORP., PEERLESS CONFECTION COMPANY, and In the alternative SHAFFER MANUFACTURING CORP.	(Cook County Circuit
Defendants.	)

### AFFIDAVIT OF JOHN GARMHAUSEN

The undersigned, John Garmhausen, being first duly sworn on oath, states as follows:

- 1. I am a duly authorized agent of PMC International f/k/a Peerless Machinery Corp. d/b/a The Peerless Group.
- 2. PMC International is organized under the laws of the State of Ohio with its principal place of business in Sidney, Ohio.
- 3. Peerless Machinery Corp. d/b/a The Peerless Group was organized under the laws of the State of Ohio with its principal place of business in Sidney, Ohio.
- 4. I am aware that The Peerless Group and Peerless Machinery Corp., have been named in a lawsuit filed in the Cook County Circuit Court, Law Division, Illinois, with Case No. 2008 L 003437.
- 5. Peerless Machinery Corp. d/b/a The Peerless Group were in the business of manufacturing mixers such as the mixer described in the plaintiff's complaint.

- Peerless Machinery Corp. and The Peerless Group are not in any way affiliated б. with or associated with Peerless Confection Company, which has also been named as a defendant in the above-captioned lawsuit.
- Peerless Machinery Corp. and The Peerless Group hereby expressly consent to the 7. removal of this matter to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois -Eastern Division.

FURTHER THE AFFIANT SAYETH NOT.

By:

ohn Garmhausen

SWORN TO AND SUBSCRIBED Before me this Hith day of May, 2008